


Q1 Are you a resident of Sherfield English?

Answered: 65 Skipped: 0



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |
|----------------|-----------|
| Yes | 93.85% 61 |
| No | 6.15% 4 |
| TOTAL | 65 |


| # | IF YES, PLEASE SAY WHICH PART OF THE PARISH YOU LIVE IN (E.G. STREET NAME), IF NOT PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR INTEREST IN THE PARISH – FOR INSTANCE, YOU HAVE FAMILY HERE, OR ARE A PROSPECTIVE RESIDENT. (BUSINESS OWNERS – SEE NEXT QUESTION) | DATE |
|----|---|---------------------|
| 1 | Newtown Road | 1/23/2022 3:22 PM |
| 2 | Resident | 1/11/2022 1:08 PM |
| 3 | Newtown road | 1/11/2022 1:00 PM |
| 4 | Branches lane | 1/11/2022 12:57 PM |
| 5 | pound lane | 1/2/2022 9:36 AM |
| 6 | Doctor's Hill | 12/17/2021 1:56 PM |
| 7 | Melchet Park | 12/16/2021 11:46 AM |
| 8 | Newtown Road | 12/14/2021 8:35 PM |
| 9 | Pound lane | 12/13/2021 10:14 PM |
| 10 | Doctors Hill | 12/11/2021 9:12 AM |
| 11 | Salisbury Road | 12/10/2021 9:42 PM |
| 12 | Doctor's Hill | 12/10/2021 3:19 PM |
| 13 | Mill Lane | 12/10/2021 12:01 PM |
| 14 | Pound Lane | 12/8/2021 3:53 PM |
| 15 | Mill lane | 12/7/2021 1:24 PM |
| 16 | Newtown Road | 12/7/2021 11:38 AM |
| 17 | Church lane | 12/5/2021 9:13 PM |
| 18 | Graemar Lane | 12/4/2021 6:56 PM |
| 19 | Steplake lane | 12/3/2021 4:41 PM |

Sherfield English NDP Consultation 2021

| | | |
|----|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| 20 | Ash Hill Common | 12/3/2021 4:09 PM |
| 21 | Newtown | 12/2/2021 6:10 PM |
| 22 | Doctors Hill | 11/30/2021 11:20 AM |
| 23 | Doctors Hill | 11/29/2021 9:46 AM |
| 24 | Pound Lane | 11/19/2021 3:29 PM |
| 25 | Salisbury road | 11/17/2021 10:21 AM |
| 26 | Salisbury Road | 11/17/2021 9:16 AM |
| 27 | Newtown Road | 11/17/2021 8:36 AM |
| 28 | Pound Lane | 11/16/2021 10:54 PM |
| 29 | Pound Lane | 11/16/2021 10:45 PM |
| 30 | Newtown Road | 11/16/2021 8:59 PM |
| 31 | business pound lane | 11/16/2021 8:44 PM |
| 32 | SO51 | 11/16/2021 8:12 PM |
| 33 | Newtown end of the village | 11/16/2021 7:47 PM |
| 34 | Doctor's Hill | 11/15/2021 9:45 PM |
| 35 | Mill lane | 11/15/2021 7:10 PM |
| 36 | Doctors Hill | 11/15/2021 5:49 PM |
| 37 | Bryces Lane | 11/12/2021 5:07 PM |
| 38 | North Common | 11/11/2021 2:48 PM |
| 39 | Branches Lane/North Common | 11/11/2021 2:33 PM |
| 40 | Newtown Road | 11/10/2021 4:41 PM |
| 41 | Pound Lane | 11/10/2021 4:30 PM |
| 42 | doctors hill | 11/9/2021 2:12 PM |
| 43 | doctors hill | 11/9/2021 11:59 AM |
| 44 | Newtown rd | 11/9/2021 8:11 AM |
| 45 | Graemar Cottages, Salisbury Road | 11/7/2021 4:40 PM |
| 46 | doctors hill | 11/6/2021 12:00 PM |
| 47 | doctors hill | 11/6/2021 10:12 AM |
| 48 | Doctor's Hill | 11/2/2021 10:23 AM |
| 49 | Newtown Road | 11/1/2021 11:02 AM |
| 50 | Church Lane | 10/28/2021 11:35 AM |
| 51 | Pound Lane | 10/27/2021 10:25 PM |
| 52 | Melchet | 10/27/2021 8:32 PM |
| 53 | Doctors Hill | 10/27/2021 8:13 PM |
| 54 | Mill Lane | 10/27/2021 7:58 PM |
| 55 | Mill lane | 10/27/2021 3:47 PM |
| 56 | Pound Lane | 10/27/2021 7:33 AM |

Q2 1. Do you own a business which is wholly or partially based in Sherfield English Parish?

Answered: 65 Skipped: 0




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----|
| Yes | 24.62% | 16 |
| No | 75.38% | 49 |
| TOTAL | | 65 |

| # | PLEASE SAY WHAT TYPE OF BUSINESS - JUST A CATEGORY, OR A COUPLE OF WORDS. | DATE |
|----|---|---------------------|
| 1 | Watercress Grower, and owner of Furland Farm | 1/4/2022 11:41 AM |
| 2 | farming | 1/2/2022 9:36 AM |
| 3 | Farm & Garden Contractor | 12/17/2021 1:56 PM |
| 4 | Farming/Watercress production | 12/13/2021 10:14 PM |
| 5 | Cleaner | 12/3/2021 4:41 PM |
| 6 | IT Support | 12/1/2021 2:49 PM |
| 7 | Business and Engineering Consultancy. | 11/30/2021 11:20 AM |
| 8 | Pet Boarding | 11/19/2021 3:29 PM |
| 9 | Holiday accommodation | 11/17/2021 9:12 PM |
| 10 | Landscaping | 11/17/2021 9:51 AM |
| 11 | Arcitectural design | 11/17/2021 9:16 AM |
| 12 | agriculture | 11/16/2021 8:44 PM |
| 13 | tourism | 11/16/2021 8:12 PM |
| 14 | Consultant (Health and Safety) | 11/15/2021 9:45 PM |
| 15 | Well-being/Counselling | 11/15/2021 5:49 PM |
| 16 | Farming | 11/12/2021 5:07 PM |

Q3 1. Do you agree that an Affordable Housing site of 10 or fewer dwellings to meet local need should be allocated?

Answered: 65 Skipped: 0



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----|
| Yes | 86.15% | 56 |
| No | 13.85% | 9 |
| TOTAL | | 65 |


| # | COMMENTS? | DATE |
|----|--|---------------------|
| 1 | Genuine local need needs to be enforced | 1/23/2022 3:22 PM |
| 2 | Yes. But local people <u>*only*</u> (< Underlined) or village connection | 1/11/2022 1:08 PM |
| 3 | It is very difficult for young people/couples to stay in the village, and hence the vicinity of their family farms or businesses, due to the high prices of existing houses. In our case for example, it is crucial to have someone on site at all times to be able to maintain the business and land. We tried to get permission for a new dwelling on site to allow this a few years ago, but it was denied, meaning the business manager (my brother) had to move 12 miles away to find something affordable. | 1/4/2022 11:41 AM |
| 4 | a mixture of different sized houses, available for villagers with a connection to the village | 1/2/2022 9:36 AM |
| 5 | There is a 106 restriction on Eastwood and the Housing Association still allocates to people outside the parish | 12/17/2021 1:56 PM |
| 6 | Not lots and lots of house but would be great to have some detached affordable houses for families | 12/16/2021 11:46 AM |
| 7 | There are currently as least 10 static caravans housing fruit pickers etc and I would prefer to see affordable housing built rather than these dwellings, what ever permission that was granted for these should be challenged in my view. | 12/14/2021 8:35 PM |
| 8 | Also to support local businesses and needs of farming in village | 12/13/2021 10:14 PM |
| 9 | I suggest a maximum of ten dwellings, but am unsure how the local need can be identified. Would it also be a requirement that the dwelling can only be sold or rented to a local resident: if so, how could this be managed??? If the properties are owned by TVBC what is there to stop them letting or selling to non-local people, if a family need a home and they have one available? | 12/10/2021 9:42 PM |
| 10 | Surely this is the only way to get new blood into the area. Young people have a hard enough time trying to get on the property ladder as it is. Anything that can help them should surely be welcomed. | 12/10/2021 3:19 PM |
| 11 | The sites for possible development need to be carefully sourced, preferably architecture in | 12/10/2021 12:01 PM |

Sherfield English NDP Consultation 2021

| | | |
|----|--|---------------------|
| | keeping with the surrounding area and preserving the individual hamlets nature of this unique parish. | |
| 12 | Do not destroy the countryside with housing developments | 12/7/2021 1:24 PM |
| 13 | Unless we can retain some youth in the village it will become a domain for the aged and financially comfortable who don't, or are unable, to contribute to the community aspects and feel of the village. | 12/7/2021 11:38 AM |
| 14 | Strict rules should apply to purchase to avoid them being purchased with a view to rents them out. | 12/4/2021 6:56 PM |
| 15 | The village needs young families | 12/3/2021 4:09 PM |
| 16 | In principle, yes but the planning policies are often misinterpreted or ignored. Each section of the policies should have a weighting. e.g. environmental considerations should be a higher weighting than number of rooms / size of property. | 12/1/2021 2:49 PM |
| 17 | Not enough "affordable" properties in the village. Anything smallish get snapped up by people intent on building mansions. | 11/30/2021 11:20 AM |
| 18 | Unless there is a brownfield site that could be used but I'm not aware of one or a site that does not negatively impact on immediate neighbours | 11/29/2021 9:46 AM |
| 19 | I feel this is important. However I feel we need to build beautiful, places that people genuinely want to live and being affordable doesn't mean that this aspect should be overlooked. There are many architects around the country who specialise in celebrating local vernacular including affordable housing. Unique and interesting homes which are beautiful feed into people's mental health and well-being and is likely to make development more appealing to those who are against it. As well as the style of housing, attempting to create the feel of open space and not be overlooked is also surely an important character of our neighbourhood. It is also vital to ensure that associated infrastructure is planned as part of any development and that it is not allowed to expand into a much larger development. | 11/16/2021 10:54 PM |
| 20 | A development of this scale would not have a significant impact on traffic, demand for local services etc. There should however be measures to ensure it is not allowed to mushroom into a much larger development, and consideration should be taken as to its location and appearance in order to respect the local vernacular and prevent avoidable damage to the rural nature of the village. | 11/16/2021 10:45 PM |
| 21 | However , I feel 2/3/4+ bed houses should be still considered as family sizes can range from a single person, up to a family of 5+. For Example, people that have moved away from the village, got married raised a family, and now would like to move back and a 2 or 3 bed isn't big enough | 11/16/2021 8:44 PM |
| 22 | More housing is required across the region | 11/16/2021 7:47 PM |
| 23 | Not really in a position to answer this - unsure what level of local need there is. | 11/11/2021 2:48 PM |
| 24 | need a mix of properties to ensure that the village remains sustainable | 11/7/2021 4:40 PM |
| 25 | The vitality of the village can be better maintained by allowing young people living or working in the village to remain in the village | 11/6/2021 12:00 PM |
| 26 | Increasing this number could be achieved by allowing infill of smaller houses (not larger houses) in as many places as possible.. maybe 1 affordable house per plot of over a certain size.. existing home owners will invest in the village instead of larger developments that will require larger builders who will expect to make significant profit | 11/6/2021 10:12 AM |
| 27 | Number of properties should be kept low, and ideally they should be integrated into existing communities to avoid creating ghettos | 11/1/2021 11:02 AM |
| 28 | The village is losing it's community spirit as larger properties are sold to people who seem to want little or no involvement in the village and there are not enough affordable properties to keep/attract younger families. As the older residents retire from the active running of community groups, there is no one to replace them. | 10/28/2021 11:35 AM |
| 29 | Yes the threshold is In line with other local authorities. | 10/27/2021 10:25 PM |
| 30 | More than 10 would also be acceptable | 10/27/2021 8:32 PM |
| 31 | I think it's absolutely fair and right, that small sites should be made available to locals, for local use, not for second homes or airbnb opportunities etc. | 10/27/2021 8:13 PM |
| 32 | Local people to have first choice | 10/27/2021 3:47 PM |

Q4 1. Do you agree that, over the course of the next 10 to 15 years, a small number of further housing sites should be allocated to meet identified local need? There would be no more than 10 dwellings on each site, and no more than one site in any one year.

Answered: 65 Skipped: 0



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Yes | 73.85% | 48 |
| No | 26.15% | 17 |
| TOTAL | | 65 |


| # | COMMENTS ON THE PRINCIPLE OR SIZE OF THESE SITES: | DATE |
|----|--|---------------------|
| 1 | Should only be allocated if suitable sites can be found | 1/23/2022 3:22 PM |
| 2 | 2-3 bedroom homes affordable for the younger generation. and 2 bedroom bungalows for older people to downsize. | 1/11/2022 1:08 PM |
| 3 | As long as priority is given to existing residents, over other people moving into the village. | 1/4/2022 11:41 AM |
| 4 | Obviously depending on impact and where | 12/16/2021 11:46 AM |
| 5 | It's not a straight no but perhaps the need could be reviewed prior to more developments being agreed | 12/14/2021 8:35 PM |
| 6 | Only if the need is proven for use by village residents | 12/13/2021 10:14 PM |
| 7 | Fewer than 10 dwellings with a maximum of five dwellings. I also suggest that there are no multi occupancy dwellings ie. blocks of flats. | 12/10/2021 9:42 PM |
| 8 | This seems a sensible way to manage the expansion, whilst avoiding a property explosion | 12/10/2021 3:19 PM |
| 9 | However, I favour infill wherever possible and this would often be no more than 2/3 properties at a time. I would favour only one 10 dwelling site over the next ten years otherwise population could increase dramatically. | 12/10/2021 12:01 PM |
| 10 | Commuting to work is easy in this day and age, housing should be on brown field sites near towns | 12/7/2021 1:24 PM |
| 11 | up to 6 properties but NOT in a Commune ,perhaps 3 pairs of Semi Detached space out around the village. or development/ replacement of redundant farm buildings | 12/7/2021 11:38 AM |
| 12 | I feel that there should only be a site every two years to enable services to be improved to accommodate an i crease in population. | 12/4/2021 6:56 PM |
| 13 | Too vague - 'small' number of 10 houses per plot would be a significant increase if by 'small' | 12/3/2021 4:09 PM |

Sheffield English NDP Consultation 2021

| | | |
|----|--|---------------------|
| | was meant more than 5 | |
| 14 | Yes but it needs to be really affordable not just based on number of bedrooms. good clever design is critical to the success of affordable housing. | 12/1/2021 2:49 PM |
| 15 | The main principle is that they are restricted in terms of development so that as owners/co-owners move on they stay available for the .offspring of current and future residents. | 11/30/2021 11:20 AM |
| 16 | I am not sure where these could be situated without negatively impacting those currently living nearby but there may be proposals that can be positively assessed | 11/29/2021 9:46 AM |
| 17 | This feels like an incredible increase in the number of houses, a 50% increase perhaps? The village would be lost beyond recognition, the open views and rural aspects would disappear. How will the roads cope? And the negative impact on those who already live on the main roads with higher traffic volumes and associated noise. What about other infrastructure to cope with this increase? I feel that this needs to be scaled back significantly as it has the potential to completely alter our neighbourhood beyond recognition within a short space of time. | 11/16/2021 10:54 PM |
| 18 | This would potentially mean a very substantial increase to the current number of houses in the village, putting strain on local amenities and increasing traffic substantially given that most of these (schools, shops, Gp/dentist/etc) are beyond walking distance. It would also significantly alter the character of the village which is notable for its current structure of multiple farmsteads without infilling. The consequent loss of open spaces and rural views would have a negative impact on local ecosystems and quality of life. | 11/16/2021 10:45 PM |
| 19 | Not all houses in the village should be affordable, a mixture should be encouraged, providing development is sensibly sized and sensitively done within the village. More housing would only support the shop/ garden centre/ church/ pub and local businesses. | 11/16/2021 8:44 PM |
| 20 | More areas need to be opened up to housing to satisfy the demand in popular areas such as this. | 11/16/2021 7:47 PM |
| 21 | One site per year would be too much. One additional site per 5-10 years better? | 11/15/2021 9:45 PM |
| 22 | Not really in a position to answer this - unsure what level of local need there is. | 11/11/2021 2:48 PM |
| 23 | Properties should be well spaced with good sized gardens to maintain the character of this area and not become a series of housing estates. | 11/10/2021 4:30 PM |
| 24 | 10 dwellings is quite large when built together and would depend on the location within the village | 11/9/2021 2:12 PM |
| 25 | happy with this proposal | 11/7/2021 4:40 PM |
| 26 | Specific sites, or individual properties, for downsizing and young couples will help to maintain a diverse community in the village. The lack of smaller housing currently encourages downsizers to move out of the village, taking their economic spending away from local businesses. | 11/6/2021 12:00 PM |
| 27 | Individual house yes, but "estates" no. | 11/1/2021 11:02 AM |
| 28 | I agree that we need to continue to address the issue of providing affordable housing for local people as well perhaps some slightly larger homes, but we would need to be careful not to change the feel of the village by putting up 10 houses every year. | 10/28/2021 11:35 AM |
| 29 | Yes however on underutilized sites or on outskirts of town. Not within a small rural villages as this will ruin the character. | 10/27/2021 10:25 PM |
| 30 | And I would think that more than 10 would also be acceptable provided that it was balanced | 10/27/2021 8:32 PM |
| 31 | If there is a genuine need and valid reason for these sites, then yes, if it's just for commercial gain, then no. | 10/27/2021 8:13 PM |
| 32 | It would be good to rebalance the mix of housing as smaller properties have been significantly extended over the years leaving few smaller, lower cost properties available for downsizing or young people. If there may be up to 150 properties added over 15 years ie an increase of 50% then there will be a definite need for an increase in services. | 10/27/2021 7:58 PM |

Q5 Do you have any suggestions for where these sites should be located?

Answered: 61 Skipped: 4



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----|
| Yes | 40.98% | 25 |
| No | 59.02% | 36 |
| TOTAL | | 61 |


| # | COMMENTS ON THE LOCATION OF THESE SITES: | DATE |
|----|--|---------------------|
| 1 | Area must be sympathetic to the value of extant housing | 1/23/2022 3:22 PM |
| 2 | Near sports field or along main road. | 1/11/2022 1:08 PM |
| 3 | Land opposite village hall | 1/11/2022 12:57 PM |
| 4 | central to the amenities in the village, within walking to church, village shop and pub | 1/2/2022 9:36 AM |
| 5 | Site for affordable housing could be adjacent to the recreation ground. These policies would not be needed if TVBC did not leave the policy of allowing large replacement dwellings built instead of original small dwellings. This is what has changed and is changing the whole character of Sherfield English | 12/17/2021 1:56 PM |
| 6 | As close as possible to the centre of the village | 12/14/2021 8:35 PM |
| 7 | Near to existing communities and amenities | 12/13/2021 10:14 PM |
| 8 | As close as feasible to the centre of the village - ie the church, the pub, the playing field. | 12/11/2021 9:12 AM |
| 9 | I find it difficult to imagine anywhere that is really appropriate to develop with the number of properties suggested, without overcrowding the properties already there. | 12/10/2021 9:42 PM |
| 10 | Along the A27 between Graemar Cottages and the layby which would ensure easy access to public transport. Glebe Farm development makes sense and should be welcomed. Any others will be dependent on individual landowners making properties available. Ashlett Farm (two property conversion of existing farm buildings) would be ideal but local authority make progress very protracted. | 12/10/2021 12:01 PM |
| 11 | Near the A27, between church and Graemar Lane | 12/8/2021 3:53 PM |
| 12 | on sites of redundant and decaying farm units. | 12/7/2021 11:38 AM |
| 13 | Maybe the roads just off the main A27 road. Mill Lane, Steplake lane, Bunny Lane. | 12/3/2021 4:41 PM |
| 14 | Yes this is simple, release some of the green belt and complement it with good considerate design. just because you are building homes it can still be in keeping with the area and actually can often enhance the area. | 12/1/2021 2:49 PM |

Sherfield English NDP Consultation 2021

| | | |
|----|--|---------------------|
| 15 | If possible, around the church and pub so that a center to the village is created. there should also be some commercial property such as shops and the post office rather than being tucked away on a caravan park that is off the beaten track and forces people to make unnecessary car journeys. | 11/30/2021 11:20 AM |
| 16 | With easy access to main routes. | 11/19/2021 5:13 PM |
| 17 | Along Salisbury Road | 11/17/2021 9:16 AM |
| 18 | Near to other housing. Green field sites should not be developed. | 11/16/2021 8:59 PM |
| 19 | Clusters could be anywhere within the village. Sherfield English is spread out as it is therefore it doesn't really matter within reason | 11/16/2021 8:44 PM |
| 20 | Anywhere with good access to the main roads through the village | 11/16/2021 7:47 PM |
| 21 | Ideally brown field - Such as previous Council depot on A27 nr Newtown Road. | 11/15/2021 9:45 PM |
| 22 | Steplake lane, branches lane | 11/15/2021 7:10 PM |
| 23 | Consideration should be given to the narrow nature of local roads and care should be taken when situating any development not to increase traffic in areas where this would cause an issue. | 11/11/2021 2:33 PM |
| 24 | Subject to landowners acceptance and land availability, between newtown road and Dunwood, and between St.Leonards and Greamar lane, set away from main road. | 11/10/2021 4:30 PM |
| 25 | rather along the a27 then in open land | 11/9/2021 2:12 PM |
| 26 | Dunwood Chipping (lower section) site - a brownfield site | 11/9/2021 11:59 AM |
| 27 | Near village hall. Possibly at Bryces end of Graemar Lane. | 11/9/2021 8:11 AM |
| 28 | we have only been resident in the village for a year and don't know it very well. There would however appear to be the opportunity for a 'centre' around the area where the pub is. | 11/7/2021 4:40 PM |
| 29 | There are some large plots of land, not used for farming in the village which owners may wish to sell or sub divide that the current greenfield planning permission would rule out for building on. Given how spread out the village is allowing some building on such sites to increase the diversity of the community would be beneficial. Self build for occupation (rather than for sale and profit) would support people dedicated to being in the village. | 11/6/2021 12:00 PM |
| 30 | If possible, close to or along the A27 or on roads leading to/from the A27. | 11/2/2021 10:23 AM |
| 31 | Affordable housing site should be close to an existing centre of population, and close to transport links, bus stops and other facilities. ideally, affordable homes should be integrated with existing communities, not set up as isolated ghettos. | 11/1/2021 11:02 AM |
| 32 | Not specifically we have a distributed village so I would think that the sites would be reasonably spread across the village and not concentrated in one part | 10/27/2021 8:32 PM |
| 33 | No i don't - however, they absolutely should not be at the expense of some of the beautiful natural sites we have in the parish, these should be encouraged and indeed left to flourish. | 10/27/2021 8:13 PM |
| 34 | If 10 to 15 sites are going to be required then any site that helps to make the village a cohesive unit rather than extending it would be a bonus. | 10/27/2021 7:58 PM |
| 35 | Steplake lane, along main A27 either side for easy access to sports field | 10/27/2021 3:47 PM |
| 36 | Near large towns., not in rural villages. | 10/27/2021 7:33 AM |

Q6 Do you agree that the Plan should include a policy on Local Green Spaces?

Answered: 65 Skipped: 0



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----|
| Yes | 96.92% | 63 |
| No | 3.08% | 2 |
| TOTAL | | 65 |


| # | COMMENTS ON THE PRINCIPLE OF GREEN SPACES: | DATE |
|----|--|---------------------|
| 1 | I would like to see the justification for each of these | 1/23/2022 3:22 PM |
| 2 | Some areas could be developed | 1/11/2022 12:57 PM |
| 3 | Green spaces are important to the village and can help protect the countryside and its enjoyment in general. It should, however, be very carefully thought out in regards to what impact it may have on existing businesses and farms. Agricultural policy and practices are having to change fast in order to keep up with climate change and economic viability, and so imposing too many new restrictions on landowners, who are already guardians and stewards of the land, can keep their businesses alive without creating new restrictions and responsibilities, which are already an extremely high overhead for farmers | 1/4/2022 11:41 AM |
| 4 | Government guidance on criteriagreen space needs to be followed. No active farmland should be included | 1/2/2022 9:36 AM |
| 5 | These are an excellent idea as they enable questionable developments to be challenged | 12/14/2021 8:35 PM |
| 6 | As many as possible please, for the good health of everyone | 12/3/2021 4:09 PM |
| 7 | Yes but lets have a clear understanding on the Green space criteria | 12/1/2021 2:49 PM |
| 8 | That they should be evenly spaced around the village and its surrounding area such that every property would be in easy reach of a green space. In particular the area of Gambledown should be protected | 11/30/2021 11:20 AM |
| 9 | This is hugely important; the natural beauty of the countryside, associated views and positive impact on mental health and well-being is priceless. In addition outdoor play areas and sports fields are fundamental to healthy development of the younger generation, support a healthy lifestyle choice and contribute to sense of community. | 11/16/2021 10:54 PM |
| 10 | Spaces 8 and 9 should be retained as wildlife corridors | 11/16/2021 8:59 PM |
| 11 | Not any private land or active farmland, Sites such as number 4 and 5 on the map are perfect | 11/16/2021 8:44 PM |
| 12 | Actually much of the parish is valuable green space | 11/15/2021 9:45 PM |

Sherfield English NDP Consultation 2021

| | | |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 13 | They are vital to preserve the rural character of the area | 11/10/2021 4:41 PM |
| 14 | being rural its really important o have access to local green space | 11/9/2021 2:12 PM |
| 15 | even in the countryside some sites have green spaces have particular social, historic or ecological value | 11/9/2021 11:59 AM |
| 16 | Green spaces are highly critical for mental well-being, quality of life and ensuring minimal impact on essential wildlife. Given village proximity to several SSSIs great importance should be put on preserving as much green space and wild land as possible | 11/2/2021 10:23 AM |
| 17 | These spaces are vital to maintain the character of Sheffield English | 11/1/2021 11:02 AM |
| 18 | We must ensure we protect these spaces, especially with everything happening across the world with regards to the climate and wildlife crisis | 10/27/2021 8:13 PM |
| 19 | I don't think privately owned land should be included. | 10/27/2021 7:58 PM |
| 20 | Sherfield English id 5 minutes from the New Forest. More green spaces in the village will contribute to overpopulation and continue to spoil the village. | 10/27/2021 7:33 AM |

Q7 Do you agree that any of the following sites should be proposed Local Green Spaces, where development is allowed only in very special circumstances? Please tick all of those which you think should be included.

Answered: 61 Skipped: 4




Sheffield English NDP Consultation 2021

| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|---|-----------|----|
| 1. Water course south to Mill Lane Meadows | 67.21% | 41 |
| 2. Church Lane Pond (Sandy Close Farm) | 57.38% | 35 |
| 3. Graemar Lane Pond (north of Marlstone) | 60.66% | 37 |
| 4. The Sport and Recreation Field | 80.33% | 49 |
| 5. The open field and marsh area, Mill Lane Meadows, that has been designated as a Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspace (SANG) | 80.33% | 49 |
| 6. The churchyard around St Leonards Church | 80.33% | 49 |
| 7. The Old Church Graveyard on Church Lane | 78.69% | 48 |
| 8. The meadow and woodland east of Newtown Road from Doctor's Hill to the A27 | 60.66% | 37 |
| 9. The open fields east of Newtown Road that are adjacent to Dunwood ancient woodland | 63.93% | 39 |
| 10. Old Toll Road through Dunwood Hill to Old Salisbury Lane (Public Right of Way) | 63.93% | 39 |
| Total Respondents: 61 | | |

| # | PLEASE SUGGEST ANY OTHER SITES THAT SHOULD BE INCLUDED. | DATE |
|----|--|---------------------|
| 1 | - | 11/11/2022 1:08 PM |
| 2 | The village is endowed with many footpaths some of which enable the individual to walk through farmland and enjoy the wonderful scenery ranging from views across to Fawley and the Waterside in one direction, Dean Hill and beyond from another and then across part to the Test Valley close to King's Somborne. With the low density of building just about every footpath provides an opportunity to enjoy the countryside. All this should be preserved for future generations to enjoy. | 12/10/2021 9:42 PM |
| 3 | Not sure about the Graemar Lane Pond - this is just a mucky and inaccessible run off which has no appeal at all, though the chances of it being compromised as a future development prospect are very slim. | 12/10/2021 12:01 PM |
| 4 | Selfishly would love the farm land (especially around Graemar Lane, Bunny Lane etc) to stay as green or farmed areas | 12/3/2021 4:09 PM |
| 5 | Gambledown | 11/30/2021 11:20 AM |
| 6 | A consideration to ancient fen sites that haven't yet been cut down without permission, would be positive for wildlife and our village history. | 11/17/2021 9:12 PM |
| 7 | Land either side of Salisbury Road | 11/17/2021 9:16 AM |
| 8 | 1 - The view from church Lane across to St Leonard's Church is beautiful and a recognised important view of the village. 2 - the field south of pound Lane where there is a natural spring and tributary to the black water (where current watercress beds are) due to important ecology and archaeological importance (site of original manor) | 11/16/2021 10:54 PM |
| 9 | The spring and watercress beds running south from Manor Farm towards the Hatchet - archaeological importance due to site of old manor but also ecological/environmental significance | 11/16/2021 10:45 PM |
| 10 | Certainly no active farmland should be included. | 11/16/2021 8:44 PM |
| 11 | Watercress beds area. Ancient footpath track/hedgerow tunnels on footpaths 16b and 27 (Hampshire definitive map) | 11/9/2021 11:59 AM |
| 12 | would be good if the mill lane meadows could connect up with the sport recreation field or the road next to the field. | 11/7/2021 4:40 PM |
| 13 | Dandys Ford area particularly the road ford (which appears to be on the village boundary) | 11/6/2021 12:00 PM |
| 14 | These are substantial plots and should be kept as Green sites, no question! | 10/27/2021 8:13 PM |
| 15 | The Beech Tree Avenue along the A27 | 10/27/2021 7:58 PM |

Q8 Do you agree with the inclusion of a policy on important views?

Answered: 65 Skipped: 0




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |
|----------------|-----------|
| Yes | 86.15% 56 |
| No | 13.85% 9 |
| TOTAL | 65 |

| # | COMMENTS ON THE PRINCIPLE OF IMPORTANT VIEWS: | DATE |
|----|--|---------------------|
| 1 | House views | 1/11/2022 1:08 PM |
| 2 | This hugely depends on which view and what it is across. Many of the views may well be across active farmland, and we must remember that these are important local family businesses, and may be subject to diversification and change. Also, as mentioned above, whose responsibility will it be to maintain these views? Farmers and landowners rarely, if ever, have the time, money or resources to take on extra (non-profit) maintenance on top of the already high workload they have just to keep their businesses alive. We must be careful that any of these proposals do not create a situation where landowners are forced to sell up or rent to large contractors or non local landowners, who will have little interest in maintaining anything for the benefit of the village | 1/4/2022 11:41 AM |
| 3 | I consider this to be an essential element which is in keeping with the character of Sherfield English. | 12/10/2021 9:42 PM |
| 4 | Sound in principle, but unfortunately agricultural diversity (eg the many acres under plastic and the use of the same land to accommodate workers in mobile homes) can compromise such views. The LA seem to be powerless (or willing) to challenge such developments.nd to | 12/10/2021 12:01 PM |
| 5 | Similar to green spaces, views impact on our health | 12/3/2021 4:09 PM |
| 6 | No this is far to subjective | 12/1/2021 2:49 PM |
| 7 | The area is picturesque and views should be protected | 11/30/2021 11:20 AM |
| 8 | These important views contribute to the history of the village. At a time when anxiety levels are high and people are seeking peace and refuge, these views nurture the soul | 11/16/2021 10:54 PM |
| 9 | I am not sure this is of importance | 11/16/2021 8:44 PM |
| 10 | Agee, but difficult to protect or define. | 11/15/2021 9:45 PM |
| 11 | Agree with the principle, but can not think of any within the village | 11/6/2021 12:00 PM |
| 12 | Important to retain the rural nature of the village | 11/1/2021 11:02 AM |

Q9 What suggestions do you have for important views that you think should be protected?

Answered: 15 Skipped: 50



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |
|---|------------|
| a. The open views of the fields either side of Branches Lane. These are bounded to the south by the main road, and to the north and east by the Doctors Hill area. | 0.00% 0 |
| b. The views of the fields in the south-west corner of the parish, south of Salisbury Road, between the eastern parish boundary and the Eastwood development. | 0.00% 0 |
| c. The views in the south-east quarter of the parish south of the main road, from the Hatchett and Mill Lane area in the west, across to the eastern boundary of the parish. | 0.00% 0 |
| d. The views southwards between the church and the recreation field, bounded on the north by the main road, and by a pond and wooded area to the south. | 0.00% 0 |
| e. The views of the fields below Sole Hill, bounded on the south by the main road. These are bounded to the west by the Graemar Lane area, and to the east by the main parish stream flowing between the Manor area and the Hatchet area. | 0.00% 0 |
| I'll email my suggestions on Important Views to the SEDCA editor | 100.00% 15 |
| Total Respondents: 15 | |


| # | ARE THERE ANY PARTICULAR IMPORTANT VIEWS THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO SUGGEST NOW? (THESE MUST BE VIEWS FROM A PUBLIC LOCATION AND NOT FROM PRIVATE PROPERTY.) PLEASE DESCRIBE BRIEFLY, SAY WHERE THE VIEW IS FROM, LOOKING IN WHICH DIRECTION, AND WHY IS IT IMPORTANT TO YOU. | DATE |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 1 | From Magdalene Cottages Pound Lane towards church From footpath through Sole Hill, Southwards From Sunset View, Doctor's Hill, South Westwards From footpath on northern boundary of Horseshoe House towards Newtown, looking to the north | 12/17/2021 1:56 PM |
| 2 | My comments on Q7 maybe more appropriate for this section. Those mentioned are seen from privately owned land. | 12/10/2021 9:42 PM |

Sherfield English NDP Consultation 2021

| | | |
|----|---|---------------------|
| 3 | The bench at Sole Hill Farm (thanks to whoever provided that) is an ideal viewing point from which to look out on Sherfield English's western areas. | 12/10/2021 12:01 PM |
| 4 | The view of at Leonard's church from Church lane and it's footpaths | 12/5/2021 9:13 PM |
| 5 | View across to church from Bryces Lane/Graemar Lane cross roads. Church Lane across to A27 (not far from old cemetery) and in the opposite direction across fields. Will think further and try to walk some areas | 12/3/2021 4:09 PM |
| 6 | Hill farm site should not be used for lodges. The site should remain usable for campers and caravans to enjoy the area on a budget and not used for cheap second homes as is new road landford | 11/19/2021 5:13 PM |
| 7 | As you enter the village from Romsey, on the A27. A "village feel" should be protected. E.g Building on the Gilbert's nursery site would mean entering the village via a housing estate. | 11/17/2021 9:12 PM |
| 8 | 1 - the view from the field north of berryfield copse looking south 2 - the view of St Leonard's from church Lane across the field | 11/16/2021 10:54 PM |
| 9 | Looking S/SW from the northern corner of the field north of Berryfield copse. This view over arable farmland and typical Hampshire topography offers physical and emotional space. | 11/16/2021 10:45 PM |
| 10 | The field at the A27 end of Newtown Road is bordered by an ancient hedge, ephemeral pools, rare orchids, GREAT CRESTED newts and bats, with fungi. It is vital that this habitat is protected as it is so rare | 11/16/2021 8:59 PM |
| 11 | This is such a broad question, having grown up in Sherfield for 40 years i feel that things have changed and need to change over time therefore no views should be protected. | 11/16/2021 8:44 PM |
| 12 | Views of water meadows area from paths between Church Lane and A27. Views to new church looking south from Church Lane and path near Church Copse. Views south across pastures and towards Isle of Wight from Gambledown Lane. | 11/15/2021 9:45 PM |
| 13 | View from Gambledown- footpaths owl cottage to Telegraph house/ Brokes copse | 11/15/2021 5:49 PM |
| 14 | . | 11/11/2021 2:48 PM |
| 15 | View of Church across fields from church Lane Views into the distance & of skyline including to IOW from high points within the parish Views from roads of woodland and green spaces - ie not just row of houses along roads, blocking views of countryside and giving more 'urban' feel. | 11/9/2021 11:59 AM |
| 16 | as a new resident i would not feel i could comment | 11/7/2021 4:40 PM |
| 17 | These protected sites MUST have real value (historical or natural) not just to block potential future building... local politics must not come into it | 11/6/2021 10:12 AM |
| 18 | I think ANY view is important, for a multitude of reasons. However when a view is a plot of natural land, that should be left as it is - like the plot along Newtown Road, that is a stunning natural area. The recent applications to turn this to a business plot is frankly a travesty. | 10/27/2021 8:13 PM |
| 19 | The view along the beech avenue, in certain lights especially, is a lovely aspect of the village. The footpaths near Telegraph House and back down offer lovely views across the village to Melchet Court, The New Forest and to the Waterside, anchoring SE in the landscape. There used to be a lovely view from the Sports Field to the Church connecting two important public areas, trees are gradually obscuring this view. | 10/27/2021 7:58 PM |
| 20 | Don't make any changes | 10/27/2021 7:33 AM |

Q10 Do you agree with the inclusion of a policy to protect, maintain and enhance the biodiversity of Sherfield English?

Answered: 63 Skipped: 2



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----|
| Yes | 90.48% | 57 |
| No | 9.52% | 6 |
| TOTAL | | 63 |

| # | COMMENTS: | DATE |
|----|--|---------------------|
| 1 | I believe TVBC will have their own policies that supersede these | 1/11/2022 12:57 PM |
| 2 | Yes, but please bear in mind again, that this is already in the hands of the landowners/farmers, who have been excellent stewards for the maintenance and biodiversity of the local area for many generations. Any new developments should absolutely take into consideration effects on nature in general. | 1/4/2022 11:41 AM |
| 3 | If left to individuals they will not be protected for the long term, it imperative to put policy into place. | 12/4/2021 6:56 PM |
| 4 | Environment needs to be a top priority for all | 12/3/2021 4:09 PM |
| 5 | No this should be higher than 10%, suggest 15 - 20 % as a minimum. | 12/1/2021 2:49 PM |
| 6 | not just a policy but a means of enforcing such a policy. | 11/30/2021 11:20 AM |
| 7 | We have increased the biodiversity of our own site by more than 10% in less than 10years. We have also planted MANY trees and developed "wild areas". Our birds, bats, frogs and insects numbers have all increased in numbers and variety of species. Sadly, our efforts are ignored and a small extension application is constantly rejected. Continuity of your focus as a council is what is required to encourage others to do what we have done. | 11/17/2021 9:12 PM |
| 8 | Absolutely vital. New developments often rely on wooden fence panels to demarcate boundaries - this has a very urban feel as well as negatively impacting on important highways for wildlife. Hedgerows and other ways of demarcating boundaries in a more rurally sympathetic manner should be specified. | 11/16/2021 10:54 PM |
| 9 | Within reason , however we as a community all ready do this, from a farmers point of view, we are all ready regulated, encouraged by subsidies, crop rotation, set aside land and woodland management by the Government, and Farming Bodies. Therefore I feel we are all ready doing protecting and maintianing the countryside | 11/16/2021 8:44 PM |
| 10 | There is more than enough protection in the area already for nature and protection of species. | 11/16/2021 7:47 PM |
| 11 | Policy should support additional tree-planting by landowners, promoting natural habitats. | 11/15/2021 9:45 PM |

Sherfield English NDP Consultation 2021

| | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 12 | We have a duty to ourselves and others on a local and national and international level to protect and enhance our local biodiversity due to the extreme threat to our environment clearly now being presented globally. We still have areas of undisturbed woodland, hedgerows and fields that contain vitally important bio diverse habitats and irreplaceable microbial havens. The UK government now recognises the high importance of Ancient woodland and hedgerows in our attempt to tackle climate Change. These are irreplaceable habitats which we as custodians must seek to protect. | 11/9/2021 11:59 AM |
| 13 | but... we are generally lucky that there is plenty of biodiversity in the area - we do not necessarily need to increase but we must protect | 11/6/2021 10:12 AM |
| 14 | Part of the character of Sheffield English which should be protected. Once gone, never replaced. | 11/1/2021 11:02 AM |
| 15 | I am not inclined to agree an arbitrary and difficult to measure improvement in biodiversity | 10/27/2021 8:32 PM |
| 16 | I could not agree more strongly on this! | 10/27/2021 8:13 PM |
| 17 | Stop developments like the expansion of the campo site, which will have a major negative effect on the biodiversity of Sherfield English.. | 10/27/2021 7:33 AM |

Q11 Are there any locations in the Parish which you think are particularly important for wildlife? If so, please identify them.

Answered: 30 Skipped: 35


| # | RESPONSES | DATE |
|----|---|---------------------|
| 1 | no | 1/11/2022 1:08 PM |
| 2 | NO | 1/2/2022 9:36 AM |
| 3 | As many as possible | 12/16/2021 11:46 AM |
| 4 | It's important to protect and encourage more woodland | 12/14/2021 8:35 PM |
| 5 | The diversity within the Parish has to date been protected by the current owners of the land. Selling land for residential development, on the scale suggested, would make it very difficult to ensure the bio diversity within the areas, could be maintained. | 12/10/2021 9:42 PM |
| 6 | Mill Lane Meadows | 12/10/2021 12:01 PM |
| 7 | Everywhere | 12/7/2021 1:24 PM |
| 8 | We use a lot of the footpaths around the village and often see a lot of wildlife. I think these are important areas for wildlife to live and feel safe. | 12/3/2021 4:41 PM |
| 9 | I don't know enough to comment | 12/3/2021 4:09 PM |
| 10 | All areas should be considered as important to wildlife, the planet is not ours, its a habitat for all life. | 12/1/2021 2:49 PM |
| 11 | Gambledown, ancient hedgerows and woods | 11/30/2021 11:20 AM |
| 12 | Bordering Newtown Road from the A27 | 11/29/2021 9:46 AM |
| 13 | All wooded areas around Newtown road, Doctors Hill, the Bank, | 11/19/2021 5:13 PM |
| 14 | The marshland side of Dandy's Ford Lane (on the right as you drive down from the A27) is an amazing habitat area which seems to be constantly overlooked. The variety of trees and grasses make a diverse habitat for many species. Also, an area on the edge of the recreation ground would make an ideal place to make a children's garden. Using a small area alongside a hedge would be a wonderful replacement, following the closure of the Gilbert's nursery site. | 11/17/2021 9:12 PM |
| 15 | Wooded areas | 11/17/2021 9:16 AM |
| 16 | Newtown Road field | 11/16/2021 8:59 PM |
| 17 | No | 11/16/2021 8:44 PM |
| 18 | Most wooded areas. Also watercourses and ancient/quarries pits (particularly where wooded). | 11/15/2021 9:45 PM |
| 19 | Wildlife Corridors where animals cross roads - hedgehogs / deer. Deer signs should be placed at locations where they cross roads, I have particularly asked (to no avail) HCC councillor for branches Lane/ the banks and doctors hill, where deer cross into the Old Quarry. | 11/15/2021 5:49 PM |
| 20 | The meadow east of Newtown Road to the A27 | 11/10/2021 4:41 PM |
| 21 | Hunting concerns me, in woodland surrounding Graemar Lane. I believe this area to be fairly biologically diverse and in need of protection. | 11/9/2021 8:40 PM |
| 22 | the parish as a whole supports such wonderful wildlife especially the birds in the hedgerows | 11/9/2021 2:12 PM |
| 23 | All the field and wooded areas are important, particularly behind the houses at Melchet court where a lot of deer and other wildlife are | 11/9/2021 1:54 PM |
| 24 | All woodlands and hedgerows, particularly ancient woodlands and native hedgerows. Watercourses along Newtown road and through centre of parish, Watercress beds down to Mill Lane and beyond. | 11/9/2021 11:59 AM |

Sherfield English NDP Consultation 2021

| | | |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 25 | Area around church lane | 11/7/2021 4:40 PM |
| 26 | Meadow and woodland east of Newtown road from Doctor's Hill to A27. A vital wildlife haven and corridor. | 11/1/2021 11:02 AM |
| 27 | Hedgerows | 10/27/2021 8:32 PM |
| 28 | Hedgerow corridors should be encouraged. | 10/27/2021 7:58 PM |
| 29 | Local woodlands | 10/27/2021 3:47 PM |
| 30 | Branched Lane | 10/27/2021 7:33 AM |

Q12 Dark Night SkiesThe Parish currently benefits from Dark Night Skies, due primarily to the absence of street lighting. We seek to preserve and enhance this, recognising the tranquillity and ecological benefits that it provides. Therefore we seek to limit the installation of external lighting in new developments, keeping it to the minimum necessary for its intended purpose, while mitigating any adverse impact. Do you agree with the inclusion of this policy?

Answered: 65 Skipped: 0



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Yes | 93.85% | 61 |
| No | 6.15% | 4 |
| TOTAL | | 65 |


| # | COMMENTS: | DATE |
|----|--|---------------------|
| 1 | Unless significant compromise to safety | 1/23/2022 3:22 PM |
| 2 | See earlier comments | 1/11/2022 12:57 PM |
| 3 | If the village currently doesn't need street lighting, then nor should any new developments. | 12/11/2021 9:12 AM |
| 4 | Please make this another essential concept of any new development. veiss | 12/10/2021 9:42 PM |
| 5 | I understand that there are certain street lights that minimise "light pollution". I would like to see it as policy that any new street lights should use these exclusively. | 12/10/2021 3:19 PM |
| 6 | I agree with the above comments completely. | 12/10/2021 12:01 PM |
| 7 | It has been a particular problem for us where units opposite our property have permanent floodlights on all night. Spoils the night sky, confuses wildlife and in winter shines across a field into our property. Such a pity. | 12/3/2021 4:09 PM |
| 8 | Yes but not for the reason you make, it should be for reducing energy consumption | 12/1/2021 2:49 PM |
| 9 | An additional policy is required to identify and existing offending external lighting installations and to bring about change in those areas. In particular something needs to be put in place to extinguish the extensive lighting in Hill Farm Caravan Park, which has a major impact on the bat population of the Mottisfont Bat Conservancy Area | 11/30/2021 11:20 AM |
| 10 | The development of Hill Farm camp site should not be allowed. The inclusion of skylights will be highly detrimental to the dark skies here. | 11/16/2021 8:59 PM |
| 11 | Absolutely great idea | 11/16/2021 8:44 PM |

Sherfield English NDP Consultation 2021

| | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 12 | Lighting is neither beneficial to wildlife nor sustainable. | 11/15/2021 9:45 PM |
| 13 | Residential Leisure locations particularly | 11/15/2021 5:49 PM |
| 14 | dont flood the area with streetlight etc | 11/9/2021 2:12 PM |
| 15 | No street lighting should be permitted and all new and alterations to sites should have dark sky friendly restrictions on external lighting adn also light spill from buildings. Natural England gives advice on best practice to be followed, whcih includes limiting lighting, types of lighting, colour, motion controlled etc | 11/9/2021 11:59 AM |
| 16 | it is one of the joys of living in a village | 11/7/2021 4:40 PM |
| 17 | I agree, but strongly believe that without street lighting outdoor lighting at premises for safety of individuals and property is very important. Therefore the definition of "minimum necessary for its intended importance" is important not to be so strict as to prevent reasonable safety and security. | 11/6/2021 12:00 PM |
| 18 | preserve ie no street lights | 11/6/2021 10:12 AM |
| 19 | Provided that an external lighting proposal is reasonable I would not support a blanket enforcement | 10/27/2021 8:32 PM |
| 20 | It is one of the true highlights of living here, at night the dark night skies are truly stunning - let's not ruin this! | 10/27/2021 8:13 PM |
| 21 | The camp site now and in their planning proposal are by far the biggest cause of light pollution and it should be restricted. | 10/27/2021 7:58 PM |

Q13 Rights of Way and Permission PathsThe Parish benefits from a wide network of footpaths and Rights of Way. Some are in need of improvement and maintenance, and some would be more useful if they could be extended. Do you think a policy to maintain and/or propose new footpaths should be included within the Plan?

Answered: 65 Skipped: 0



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |
|----------------|-----------|
| Yes | 86.15% 56 |
| No | 13.85% 9 |
| TOTAL | 65 |


| # | COMMENTS ON THE PRINCIPLE OF THIS POLICY: | DATE |
|---|--|---------------------|
| 1 | Policy should encourage use of the paths | 1/23/2022 3:22 PM |
| 2 | I would assume this is already taken care of by the parish Footpath officer, and the village should continue to support, including financially, the relevant landowners in their efforts to maintain footpaths and stiles etc. | 1/4/2022 11:41 AM |
| 3 | There is an abundance of wonderful footpaths and Rights of Way which I consider should be maintained by the Parish. An improved footpath from the A27 Bunny Lane to the minor footpath on the left hand side of the road which eventually leads to Cowesfield?/ Courtens Nursery/Whiteparish could be considered. | 12/10/2021 9:42 PM |
| 4 | Agree about continues maintenance, of course. I would need to know more about where any changes/extensions would be proposed for before coming to a view. | 12/10/2021 12:01 PM |
| 5 | Of benefit to locals and visitors | 12/3/2021 4:09 PM |
| 6 | However many of them are not relevant to modern ways of enjoying the countryside and an overall plan of extension, closure and extension is needed such that picturesque routes can be followed. | 11/30/2021 11:20 AM |
| 7 | Footpaths are a wonderful thing but farmers are fighting for their survival these days. To hear so many people criticise landowners for "ploughing" a field or not trimming their brambles often enough is upsetting. Encourage footpaths alongside encouraging ramblers to carry cutters is the best way forward. | 11/17/2021 9:12 PM |
| 8 | We really value the footpaths, they are the gateway to the natural world and important to both physical and mental well-being. | 11/16/2021 10:54 PM |
| 9 | There are all ready plenty, as mentioned before I have been here 40 years and there are plenty | 11/16/2021 8:44 PM |

Sherfield English NDP Consultation 2021

| | | |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 10 | Policy should address connectivity of paths, particularly those ending at the A27. Roadside paths could connect these (for example The Frenches lane could be connected to footpath near Dunwood Fruit Farm. | 11/15/2021 9:45 PM |
| 11 | Footpath maintenance is haphazard throughout the village. Some are impassible at times of the year, some are kept tidy.stiles everywhere are in poor repair and some downright dangerous. One of the worst is on the map near "Pitfield" along north common. There should be a working party to monitor and ensure the paths gates and stiles are accessible and SAFE. | 11/15/2021 5:49 PM |
| 12 | some footpaths are no longer accessible as shown on the parish map | 11/9/2021 2:12 PM |
| 13 | valuable resource for wellbeing and health of local people | 11/9/2021 11:59 AM |
| 14 | Also in support of sustainable transport paved footpaths such as the one along the A27 and byways should be converted to a footpath/cycle path including for the use of e-bikes, scooter and e-scooters. Connecting the village to nearby towns without going on busy roads would be very useful. | 11/6/2021 12:00 PM |
| 15 | Walking on footpaths is one of the ways I kept fit and physically active during lockdowns and I fully intend to keep this up moving forwar | 11/2/2021 10:23 AM |
| 16 | Footpaths open up the countryside and give access to many vistas which would otherwise be inaccessible. They encourage exercise, and indirectly promote mental health. They should be maintained/improved and extended. | 11/1/2021 11:02 AM |
| 17 | Maintenance preferred over adding new | 10/27/2021 8:32 PM |
| 18 | I think they should be maintained, there is many wonderful footpaths around, sadly too often some are too challenging to enjoy due to their overgrown nature | 10/27/2021 8:13 PM |
| 19 | Enough already | 10/27/2021 7:33 AM |

Q14 Would you support the creation of a footpath along Branches Lane between the A27 and the Caravan Park/Village Post Office?

Answered: 61 Skipped: 4



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----|
| Yes | 73.77% | 45 |
| No | 26.23% | 16 |
| TOTAL | | 61 |

| # | PLEASE ADD ANY COMMENTS FOR OR AGAINST THIS. FOR INSTANCE, POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS TO THE ROUTING DIFFICULTY, INCLUDING ALTERNATIVE ROUTES TO THE POST OFFICE. | DATE |
|----|---|---------------------|
| 1 | It's not a great walk along the road there although the visibility is OK | 1/23/2022 3:22 PM |
| 2 | Essential for accessing shop/post office etc safely. | 1/11/2022 12:57 PM |
| 3 | I don't think it will be used, and there is definitely not enough room to put one in | 1/2/2022 9:36 AM |
| 4 | Branches Lane is a wide clear road. Footpath would either narrow the road or destroy hedgerows | 12/17/2021 1:56 PM |
| 5 | It would be great if a cycle path could be incorporated in any footpath built | 12/14/2021 8:35 PM |
| 6 | I have no feelings about this: it doesn't appear to be necessary for the Village in its current form as most people would continue to drive to the Post Office. There was/is a footpath that runs from Branches Lane to Salisbury Road across the fields and another that leads eventually to Graemar Lane. | 12/10/2021 9:42 PM |
| 7 | Whilst I feel this would be very useful, care should be taken not to impact on the width of the road, which is already very tight for two vehicles to pass each other in certain places | 12/10/2021 3:19 PM |
| 8 | I would like to see this happen but think it is most unlikely without support from HCC and the local landowners. This would clearly make the already narrow Branches Lane even narrower and a difficult project. Also, I think that any path created should be joint use with cyclists. | 12/10/2021 12:01 PM |
| 9 | A dangerous road for walkers. Footpath along south side of road, along field edge. | 12/8/2021 3:53 PM |
| 10 | It would be so beneficial to have a footpath along the road to the post office but understand that's not going to be easily done. I think the speed limit should be reduced in that area just as a starter to protect anyone walking/ running etc. | 12/3/2021 4:41 PM |
| 11 | The verges are too narrow in places and the hedgerows are well established and in some places, ancient so should not be disturbed. If the post office were to be located in the center of the village, where it should always have been, then there would be no need. Also the location of the Post Office on the camp site means that it is too far away from the main | 11/30/2021 11:20 AM |

Sherfield English NDP Consultation 2021

populous areas of the village for people to walk to it therefore they have to drive and this in-itself increases pollution and is contrary to protecting the biodiversity of the village.

| | | |
|----|---|---------------------|
| 12 | I would support a footpath FROM THE A27 to Branches lane and then to the caravan park/ post office/ shop/ caravan storage/ living accommodation/ tea room/ future housing development site, etc etc. TBC... A path from Branches Lane means there would be a gap from the footpath that ends at the crossroads. | 11/17/2021 9:12 PM |
| 13 | Definitely - but not a pavement please! Branches Lane is a very fast road and with patches of poor visibility, we would walk to the post office / village shop more often if there were a footpath rather than relying on the car | 11/16/2021 10:54 PM |
| 14 | Branches Lane is a relatively fast road with poor visibility at times due to the undulations in the road. As a pedestrian, especially with young children and a dog, it is not an appealing prospect! While I still brave it in daylight, I do not feel entirely safe doing so. I'm sure I'm not alone in consequently undertaking more short trips by car with obvious environmental implications. The benefits to our health would be significant and we would consequently support the shop/PO more too! | 11/16/2021 10:45 PM |
| 15 | I would, but I don't think it can be fully justified, as it clearly wont be used by many people , if at all. | 11/16/2021 8:44 PM |
| 16 | Regular Cutting of verge may provide sufficient access without a formal path. | 11/15/2021 9:45 PM |
| 17 | This is a busy road now and there are lots of people walking along here. Maybe use of the fields to the main road | 11/15/2021 7:10 PM |
| 18 | Unsure We regularly walk along Branches Lane to Gilbert's (even litter picking) and it does not feel unsafe daytimes. It is straight with good visibility and grass verges from the P O to pound Lane. Will it require taking out an established hedge at A27 end | 11/15/2021 5:49 PM |
| 19 | Definitely keen for a footpath to be added there. My ideal solution would be a footpath but maintaining a two lane carriageway and national speed limit. | 11/11/2021 2:48 PM |
| 20 | I support this as it would have a number of benefits: - provide level pushchair/wheelchair access from Branches Lane to the centre of the village and its amenities (village hall, pub, Gilberts etc.) therefore reducing the need for short car journeys and increasing the use of those amenities - Reduce hazard posed by people (both locals and holidaymakers at the caravan park) being forced to walk in the road. The latter often pose a risk as they are not familiar with the area and how fast cars drive down Branches Lane. - Increase the appeal of local tourist accommodation (Caravan Park and Gambledown Farm) by providing easy access to local businesses and amenities - Provide a safe, pushchair/wheelchair accessible route from Branches Lane to a bus stop, thus encouraging the use of public transport | 11/11/2021 2:33 PM |
| 21 | The road is narrow near the junction with Pound lane, a footpath would make it difficult for larger vehicles to pass one another, they already use the grass verge. Improvement of the road and line of sight would be required, and if possible a speed limit along the most hazardous section. | 11/10/2021 4:30 PM |
| 22 | there is no restricted speed limit and pedestrians and horse riders are at risk on Branches Lane. also would be great to walk from branches lane along the a27 to shootash and beyond | 11/9/2021 2:12 PM |
| 23 | This would be a great addition, as it's not an ideal road to walk down. The only concern is the road is quite narrow already | 11/9/2021 1:54 PM |
| 24 | A formal footpath is not necessarily needed for this road as with the co-operation of adjoining landowners and Parish council, for maintenance, one side of verges could be kept trimmed to allow pedestrians to walk or take refuge on the verge. | 11/9/2021 11:59 AM |
| 25 | yes that road is not safe for pedestrians | 11/7/2021 4:40 PM |
| 26 | the village is sadly missing footpaths along the a27 | 11/6/2021 10:12 AM |
| 27 | Ambivalent. I am generally in favour of more footpaths in the village, but I wonder if this particular one would get sufficient usage to justify the cost. | 11/1/2021 11:02 AM |
| 28 | A new footpath would not be necessary or provide any benefit to those in the village. The only party the footpath would be benefiting would be those residing at the Caravan Park. This suggestion should not be used as a way to have a footpath installed by the council. | 10/27/2021 10:25 PM |
| 29 | Having walked this road a number of times, it can be quite scary with the proximity of vehicles to pedestrians and it would be great to have a safe space to walk | 10/27/2021 8:32 PM |
| 30 | I support all footpaths, increase as necessary is my view. | 10/27/2021 8:13 PM |

Sherfield English NDP Consultation 2021

| | | |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 31 | Whether or not the PO and shop remain at the campsite, Branches Lane is an important village link between two village areas, and not at all walker friendly. | 10/27/2021 7:58 PM |
| 32 | NO. This was always a quiet lane. It is only bust due to the huge numbers of people going in/out of the camp site. Why should the camp site get special treatment. | 10/27/2021 7:33 AM |

Q15 Are there any other specific locations or routes that you think would benefit from a new footpath? If so, please specify.

Answered: 28 Skipped: 37

| # | RESPONSES | DATE |
|----|---|---------------------|
| 1 | no | 1/11/2022 1:08 PM |
| 2 | Continuing the footpath to newtown road | 1/11/2022 1:00 PM |
| 3 | NO | 1/2/2022 9:36 AM |
| 4 | Between Newtown Road Junction with A27 and Branches Lane | 12/14/2021 8:35 PM |
| 5 | Suggestion at Q 13 | 12/10/2021 9:42 PM |
| 6 | A footpath on the A27 between Branches Lane & Newtown Lane would be useful. It would be a natural extension of the existing path between The Hatchet and Branches Lane. Walking on that stretch of road feels quite dangerous, as cars tend to exceed the speed limit of 40mph. | 12/10/2021 3:19 PM |
| 7 | The missing link between the end of Branches Lane and Newtown Road. This is certainly a dangerous stretch of the A27 to walk down and if there is to be an opportunity for pedestrians (and cyclists) to safely walk and cycle across the parish this should be considered. | 12/10/2021 12:01 PM |
| 8 | A27 west from Newtown Road junction to link with current footpath at Branches Lanes | 12/7/2021 11:38 AM |
| 9 | No | 12/3/2021 4:41 PM |
| 10 | Need to give this further investigation. Some of our walking routes would benefit from a circular option | 12/3/2021 4:09 PM |
| 11 | Between A27 and entrance to sports field/Eastwood | 11/30/2021 11:20 AM |
| 12 | Newtown Road to Branches Lane along A27. This would help link the village | 11/29/2021 9:46 AM |
| 13 | A short piece of path would be welcome by the bus stop and post box on the A27/ turning to Newtown road. Trying to post mail in a huge muddy puddle leads to some vehicles parking further into the A27 than should be necessary. This is a fast stretch of road. | 11/17/2021 9:12 PM |
| 14 | 1 - a foot path between mill Lane and steplake Lane south of a27 would be great to avoid having to walk along a27. Perhaps a link between Mill Lane Meadows and the playing fields? 2 - a link between branches Lane and Newtown road would be good - either a footpath along a27 from branches Lane to Newton road or across the fields north of a27 | 11/16/2021 10:54 PM |
| 15 | NO | 11/16/2021 8:44 PM |
| 16 | The path of the old A27 between top of Old Salisbury Lane and A27 near Dunwood Manor currently diverts to south to join A27. The original route continued to the entrance of Dunwood Manor and if this route was re-opened would provide amenity access to residents of the retirement complex. Also, old maps show a footpath between Dunwood Manor and the bridal path at the old golf centre - this should be re-opened. | 11/15/2021 9:45 PM |
| 17 | the real problem - worse than branches Lane above - is along the A27. The footpath from from the church - hatchet- garage - to Gilbert's stops abruptly. It should continue from Branches Lane (opposite Gilbert's) to the bus stop at Newtown Lane. We attempted to walk this once and it is very dangerous with the heavy lorries and weight of traffic along the A27, with dense high undergrowth - no verges- and poor visibility on the hill and round the corner | 11/15/2021 5:49 PM |
| 18 | Admittedly outside the parish, but a footpath along Romsey Road from the junction with Carter's Clay Road to the footpath (200m east of the junction) that runs north towards Mottisfont & Dunbridge station. | 11/11/2021 2:48 PM |
| 19 | Branches lane to Dunwood along A27 main road. Some sections are hazardous for pedestrians heading to and from Shootash. | 11/10/2021 4:30 PM |
| 20 | sherfield english to wellow where does melchet court walk come out | 11/9/2021 2:12 PM |
| 21 | A27 from end of current path a Branches lane to south of village at Frenches lane. This | 11/9/2021 11:59 AM |


Sherfield English NDP Consultation 2021

would provide a good link to footpaths and provide a safe route for pedestrians needing to access facilities in the centre of the parish from the southern Newtown road end. Again there is enough verge to create an informal grass path if one side of verges was cut back and overhanging vegetation removed. Would benefit from support of local landowners and Parish council/TVBC/highways as this is a major road and often used as relief road for the A36. Creating such informal verge path may be easier and definitely much lower costs than trying to build an official one but would allow many more people safe passage through the village on foot.

| | | |
|----|---|---------------------|
| 22 | Along A27 from Branches Lane to join footpath at foot of Shootash Hill | 11/9/2021 8:11 AM |
| 23 | yes between mill lane meadows and the sports field | 11/7/2021 4:40 PM |
| 24 | As question 13 change of use for the footpath along the A27 rather than new footpath | 11/6/2021 12:00 PM |
| 25 | Doctor's Hill to Branches Lane (possibly by post office, or linking to new proposed footpath of branches lane to A27) | 11/2/2021 10:23 AM |
| 26 | No | 10/27/2021 10:25 PM |
| 27 | No | 10/27/2021 8:32 PM |
| 28 | No | 10/27/2021 7:33 AM |

Q16 Rural Employment and TourismThe Plan will encourage appropriate development which enables our remaining agricultural and other rural operations to continue and grow, existing businesses to extend, and appropriate new businesses to develop at existing business sites, including redundant farm buildings. We understand that there are issues relating to the concentration of commercial covered growing areas, such as polytunnels and glasshouses in certain locations. Therefore, we are proposing that these should only be allowed where they do not create adverse visual impact or obstruct identified wildlife corridors. Applications for small scale tourism-related uses will generally be welcomed and supported, subject to meeting other policies within the Plan, including Green Spaces, Important Views, Bio-diversity and Dark Skies. Do you agree with the inclusion of a rural employment policy?

Answered: 65 Skipped: 0



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |
|----------------|-----------|
| Yes | 90.77% 59 |
| No | 9.23% 6 |
| TOTAL | 65 |


| # | COMMENTS: | DATE |
|---|--|---------------------|
| 1 | Rural employment is key to village life | 1/11/2022 12:57 PM |
| 2 | Farming was once the backbone of Sherfield English and should be actively supported. Government actively support farming and small businesses, therefore local council should also actively support it in the same way, no restrictions should be put on any farmland. Reducing the carbon footprint, local produce and local farms need to be supported | 1/2/2022 9:36 AM |
| 3 | With the changes proposed for the agricultural/farming industry, it may be difficult to deny the use of polytunnels and glasshouses, both of which I would prefer to see rather than industrial type buildings that, sadly, may be the only alternative. | 12/10/2021 9:42 PM |
| 4 | I agree with this proposal in its entirety. In addition, I would not want to see further development of the campsite so that it becomes a small village in its own right. In effect, this could stifle tourism to the area as the occupants become permanent residents instead. | 12/10/2021 12:01 PM |

Sherfield English NDP Consultation 2021

| | | |
|----|--|---------------------|
| 5 | The noise from industrial units that were once a farm, and which sit close to homes is such an irritation especially at weekends, sunny days etc when noise of wildlife is drowned out by machinery eg metal cutting, felled tree disposal (including bonfires) car/vehicle maintenance etc | 12/3/2021 4:09 PM |
| 6 | No, you should not be restricting business to existing locations, many small business will need to operate from their home or local small holding. | 12/1/2021 2:49 PM |
| 7 | So long as it is fitting for the area. | 11/30/2021 11:20 AM |
| 8 | Small scale holiday businesses are becoming an area which will lead to local employment, which will help the young families who will live in the new houses YOU build. Peppa Pig is a major draw to our area and should be celebrated. Making up new stealth taxes to discourage this Avenue of growth and income for the village is short sighted. 'Caravan park licences' for one dwelling, CIL for minute development with no licenses for certain campsites, portrays an unfair playing field and only encourages underhand tactics. Facilitating those that want to "do things correctly" should be a priority. Existing, long term businesses are established and have influence. New comers are facing 21st century hurdles/ taxes and planning issues. Rather than attack those that wish to create revenue and employment diversity, I wish our council would embrace the modern age where landowners NEED to make a choice. Giving up third generation farming and "selling out" to tourism is a hard pill to swallow. Don't make it harder than it needs to be. | 11/17/2021 9:12 PM |
| 9 | Provided that there is due consideration given to impact on traffic volumes, especially on some of the small country lanes | 11/16/2021 10:54 PM |
| 10 | Unsure | 11/16/2021 8:59 PM |
| 11 | Very few farms survive, it is very difficult without the local support. Farms need to be able to diversify crops, or growing land or buildings or maybe even tourism. I have seen too man farms close in the village in recent years, an industry that should be supported. | 11/16/2021 8:44 PM |
| 12 | Strict controls must be in place for traffic levels, working hours, noise and potential for any form of pollution in these developments. Priority should be given to rural crafts and farm produce companies. | 11/10/2021 4:30 PM |
| 13 | I think there is need for a local shop, I would support an application for that purpose. | 11/9/2021 8:40 PM |
| 14 | so long as its fair to local business | 11/9/2021 2:12 PM |
| 15 | Small scale as this is a small village with limited infrastructure. | 11/9/2021 11:59 AM |
| 16 | and LOCAL employment ie not bringing in foreign workers! | 11/6/2021 10:12 AM |
| 17 | Any developments should be sympathetic to their surroundings, and not create eyesores or generate noise, traffic, and nuisance to neighbours. We should avoid the creation of industrial estates and HGV operating sites at all costs. | 11/1/2021 11:02 AM |
| 18 | Yes to an extent. However I reference Polytunnels as a commercial growing area as there are a large number erected by the Church. Whilst I am not opposed to encouraging local farming this needs to be done in a sustainable and clean manner. Further, the Village needs to review the schemes and inspect the proposal and insisting on items such as adequate screening etc. This will help to preserve the appearance of the village. | 10/27/2021 10:25 PM |
| 19 | Current development is already unsightly- the poly tunnels are a disgrace | 10/27/2021 9:52 PM |
| 20 | Let's encourage life and diversity in the village and not encourage a sleepy hollow | 10/27/2021 8:32 PM |
| 21 | Village is too small | 10/27/2021 7:33 AM |

Q17 Do you think there is a problem, in certain locations, with covered growing areas such as polytunnels and glasshouses?

Answered: 62 Skipped: 3



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----|
| Yes | 33.87% | 21 |
| No | 66.13% | 41 |
| TOTAL | | 62 |

| # | COMMENTS: | DATE |
|----|---|---------------------|
| 1 | As long as they are not everywhere | 1/23/2022 3:22 PM |
| 2 | It's nice to see fresh fruit growing in the village | 1/11/2022 1:08 PM |
| 3 | Perhaps more screening | 1/11/2022 1:00 PM |
| 4 | Maybe. more screening | 1/11/2022 12:57 PM |
| 5 | I think to address the many challenges food producers are going to face in the coming years, we will have to maintain a flexible view to modern farming methods. This can be implemented discretely, wnd should not be ruled out without proper consultation with local growers | 1/4/2022 11:41 AM |
| 6 | this is farm diversification and has to be done in particular circumstances | 1/2/2022 9:36 AM |
| 7 | As said previously, this may be the better than the alternative unless the new Govt. Policies enable farmers to use their land as has been said for the Public Good (whatever that means!). | 12/10/2021 9:42 PM |
| 8 | But having said that, it is true that they can be real eye-sores. | 12/10/2021 3:19 PM |
| 9 | Personally, I think that the polytunnels along the A27 are an eyesore | 12/10/2021 12:01 PM |
| 10 | Strawberry polytunnels are too large an area and unsightly from recreation ground. | 12/8/2021 3:53 PM |
| 11 | I am not opposed to them but would urge that screening by vegetation is pursuedper s | 12/7/2021 11:38 AM |
| 12 | But I think they are necessary in our climate | 12/3/2021 4:09 PM |
| 13 | No this is ridiculous, polytunnels and glasshouses are needed to support local business and locally grown products. | 12/1/2021 2:49 PM |
| 14 | They are temporary structures | 11/30/2021 11:20 AM |
| 15 | I would like to answer "maybe" on this. I can see that polytunnels extend the growing season and allow local businesses to boost profits. In addition as a country we need to become more self sufficient to avoid the environmental impacts of shipping food around the | 11/16/2021 10:54 PM |


Sherfield English NDP Consultation 2021

world. However I do think that they have a negative impact on the open country side views and therefore a balance needs to be struck.

| | | |
|----|---|---------------------|
| 16 | No its good to see food being grown in the village. I believe screening has also been planted. | 11/16/2021 8:44 PM |
| 17 | This is a difficult issue as farms need to remain competitive to survive, but there is an issue if development is excessive. | 11/15/2021 9:45 PM |
| 18 | The policy is Q16 looks appropriate. Support farming to remain viable, but without spoiling the aesthetic. | 11/11/2021 2:48 PM |
| 19 | Subject to visual impact, they improve our ability to grow out of season produce. | 11/10/2021 4:30 PM |
| 20 | Food is needed, I'd rather polytunnels than cows for environmental reasons. | 11/9/2021 8:40 PM |
| 21 | I would rather food was grown locally than imported from across the world. Suitabel ecological asesments adn net biodiversity gains should be included in any planning persimmons adn teh condition to return to green field site should business cease to produce. | 11/9/2021 11:59 AM |
| 22 | Although these businesses bring employment to the area they do not seem to employ UK workers, so the benefit for the area is limited. While this situation remains they probably shouldn't grow to cover more area. | 11/6/2021 12:00 PM |
| 23 | I think the growing tunnels are fine if positioned carefully, but there may be issues with the "temporary" accommodation provided for workers, noise, light etc | 10/28/2021 11:35 AM |
| 24 | Yes opposite the Church. | 10/27/2021 10:25 PM |
| 25 | How did these appear without planning? Looks disgusting | 10/27/2021 9:52 PM |
| 26 | I don't know enough on this to comment. | 10/27/2021 8:13 PM |
| 27 | I appreciate the need for and support rural diversification. Agricultural pursuits are preferable to indiscriminate property development. The large field of polytunnels beside the A27 however are highly visible and detrimental to the views from certain footpaths. | 10/27/2021 7:58 PM |

Q18 Do you agree with a policy welcoming small scale tourism-related use, subject to compatibility with all the other policies?

Answered: 63 Skipped: 2



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----|
| Yes | 84.13% | 53 |
| No | 15.87% | 10 |
| TOTAL | | 63 |


| # | COMMENTS: | DATE |
|----|--|---------------------|
| 1 | Tourism related business should be encouraged | 1/11/2022 12:57 PM |
| 2 | Small scale not a large development of all ear round holiday chalets | 12/17/2021 1:56 PM |
| 3 | Provided it is small scale and temporary for the summer season, as is currently in operation. | 12/10/2021 9:42 PM |
| 4 | As long as it is indeed 'small scale'. | 12/10/2021 3:19 PM |
| 5 | But please read my comment to point 16. | 12/10/2021 12:01 PM |
| 6 | Not sure we have much that is attractive to tourists in the round but Such things as carefully controlled camping and Caravanning for instance bring temp. residents whose support may ensure the continuance of facilities such as the Shop, tea rooms etc. things that are not sustainable from the limited use that residents make of them. | 12/7/2021 11:38 AM |
| 7 | I'd rather the tourism was controlled to manageable limits | 12/3/2021 4:09 PM |
| 8 | Neither agree nor disagree, I think each application should be considered on their individual merits | 12/1/2021 2:49 PM |
| 9 | We do not want nor need tourist sites in the area as they only attract people from high populous areas and with the current Coronavirus outbreak, it is clear that they could bring infection into the area. This pandemic is not over, it will be here for many more years and one has to fear what is next. In addition they attract undesirables, ie the infamous white van brigade. It is a low crime area and we need to protect that status. | 11/30/2021 11:20 AM |
| 10 | Small scale only. We have one already at hill farm. | 11/19/2021 5:13 PM |
| 11 | This needs to cover ALL areas of tourism not just the ones that suit the council. | 11/17/2021 9:12 PM |
| 12 | Provided due consideration is given to traffic volumes and road infrastructure etc. | 11/16/2021 10:54 PM |
| 13 | We have enough tourist sites already | 11/16/2021 8:59 PM |
| 14 | Absolutely, this should be encouraged and is great to see a successful caravan park i the village. This is only going to support other local businesses such as the pub and the garden | 11/16/2021 8:44 PM |

Sherfield English NDP Consultation 2021

| | | |
|----|--|--------------------|
| | centre. | |
| 15 | As long as this is appropriate low density as per housing policy | 11/15/2021 5:49 PM |
| 16 | How could the amenities in the village be improved to support this? A village shop?? | 11/9/2021 1:54 PM |
| 17 | small scale only due to limited infrastructure and low population of the parish | 11/9/2021 11:59 AM |
| 18 | We already have a large and well-run caravan and camp site in Branches Lane, and a number of B&B and rental cottages across the village. This is fine, but I don't believe there is any need for expansion. Attempts to convert green spaces into camp sites, caravan parks, and holiday cabins should be strongly resisted, not least because they can become a backdoor route to residential housing on sites where it would otherwise not be permitted. | 11/1/2021 11:02 AM |
| 19 | See response 16 | 10/27/2021 8:32 PM |
| 20 | As long as it does not include any more large scale camping, caravan or lodge sites which will periodically radically increase the local population. | 10/27/2021 7:58 PM |

Q19 Anything else? Do you feel these policies cover everything in the Parish that should be part of TVBC Planning Policy?

Answered: 63 Skipped: 2



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----|
| Yes | 69.84% | 44 |
| No | 30.16% | 19 |
| TOTAL | | 63 |

| # | IF NOT, WHAT ADDITIONAL TOPICS SHOULD BE ADDRESSED? | DATE |
|---|--|---------------------|
| 1 | Replacement dwelling policy as mentioned before, it's destroying our village | 12/17/2021 1:56 PM |
| 2 | I am unable to answer this because I have no idea if everything that should be considered, has been. But I appreciate the work that has been done to seek to achieve the best outcome. | 12/10/2021 9:42 PM |
| 3 | There needs to be a survey undertaken by TVBC or HCC regarding the extensive use of farm vehicles and HGVs in the lanes - especially Mill Lane (including at inappropriate times of the day - from 04.30 during the summer) and the potential for accidents because of the size of the vehicles and the danger they pose to other road users including pedestrians and cyclists. The damage to the lanes by these large vehicles to the structure of the road surface becomes more evident as time goes on. | 12/10/2021 12:01 PM |
| 4 | Speed limits in the village? | 12/3/2021 4:09 PM |
| 5 | Supporting local small business and provision of community facility and small shorts and or pubs | 12/2/2021 6:10 PM |
| 6 | No, my view is the planning polices are next to useless as there is plenty of evidence of inconstancies with the whole process. A better use of time would be to disband the planning committee and start again with a diverse team of intelligent with some form of common sense. | 12/1/2021 2:49 PM |
| 7 | The development of a center to the village with shops, affordable housing and social venues. | 11/30/2021 11:20 AM |
| 8 | Traffic speed A27 and side roads being used by small vans | 11/19/2021 5:13 PM |
| 9 | There are many listed buildings in our area. The policy should include comments relating to the improvements/ protection of these buildings. TVBC conservation officers seem to have an erratic approach to protecting listed buildings. Lord Palmerston's home can be knocked into a modern open plan living space, while mundane properties with no history can not get basic repairs. I only comment as one who doesn't own a listed building but listens intently to those who have. As a TVBC supporter, I am tired of fighting off the rumours that TVBC planning have a "brown envelope" approach to planning approval. | 11/17/2021 9:12 PM |

Sherfield English NDP Consultation 2021

| | | |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 10 | Sustainable development (from a climate change perspective), low impact construction methods, increasing woodland cover. | 11/15/2021 9:45 PM |
| 11 | As part of the plan to protect wildlife and environment consideration should also be given to waste water pollution of rivers and streams by any businesses seeking planning permission in the area. | 11/11/2021 2:33 PM |
| 12 | the pylons . why do we have overhead pylons when all new lines run underground | 11/9/2021 2:12 PM |
| 13 | transport links, energy efficiency of buildings and local energy production - eg solar panels/heating on enw proeprties adn incresed levels of insulation/us principles of solar gain/shading in orientation & design of properties | 11/9/2021 11:59 AM |
| 14 | Cable broad band please - note that TVBC is looking into this for other areas, also important for our village | 11/7/2021 4:40 PM |
| 15 | This has great coverage of housing, environment, historical and society elements. I realise as an isolated region we only play a small part in creating off-road sustainable transport links, but would like to include this by creating cycle / e-bike paths. | 11/6/2021 12:00 PM |
| 16 | How our younger generations can live in the village, I don't think we understand the scale of the challenge | 10/27/2021 8:32 PM |
| 17 | A great set of policies need to be established to protect the parish for the generations ahead of us | 10/27/2021 8:13 PM |
| 18 | Transport. Eg encouraging alternative transport to cars. Maybe a village electric car charging area? | 10/27/2021 7:58 PM |
| 19 | Would like to see planning permission allowed in gardens and open spaces on private properties of space allows to help with housing shortages | 10/27/2021 3:47 PM |